## INVESTIGATION INTO NATIONAL SWIM MEDAL SYSTEM

## BACKGROUND.

An agenda item by the Victorian Branch was discussed at the 1987 National Council Meeting in Perth, that the maximum number of events swum at the National Swim be reduced from seven to five and the existing overall system. be retained.
After a great deal of varied discussion and the premis that 5 swims from a possible 13 would lead to ties for 1 st place in most age groups, it was decided that the National Recorder be directed to prepare statistics on the proposal for consideration at the Mid Year Conference.

## RESEARCH.

With the change to the points system at the 1986 National Meet and the situation, shown by a previous report, that the placegetters can be affected by the 10 point system compared to the 4 point, it was obvious that both systems would have to be compared.

The 1986 and 1987 National Meets are the only ones using the 10 point system, therefore the 1984 and 1985 were used as comparisons.
Once the basis for the investigation had been established, lists were prepared for each of the meets showing the first 4 placegetters in each event. From these the placegetters based on 5 swims only could be worked out. Which events to discard, particularly if the swimmer had won all 7 events, had to be a qualified judgement on my part. This did not prove to be a problem, a) because not too many swimmers won all 7 of their events, and b) it was quite apparent in the majority of swimmers.
The placegetters based on 5 swims was compared to the official 7 swim results and the differences categorised as follows:-

1. The total number of age groups affected.
2. The number of ties for first place.
3. The number of changes to positions only, and ties for 1st, 3rd becomes and etc.
4. The number of changes to personnel swimmers gaining medals that hadn't previously and vice-versa.

## RESULTS.

There is always a danger when analysing statistics that they can be manipulated to suit the users purpose, however, I have attempted to report the facts in an unbiased manner.
Looking at the figures shown in Appendix 1 it is clear that the changes to both 4 point Nationals are the same. The pronounced difference between the two 10 point Nationals may be answered by the increase in numbers of competitors, 850 in Perth to 650 in Adelaide. The more people, the closer the competition and the more likely the chance of changes.

The 10 point system does lend itself to change. This is best shown by the following examples:-

Swimmer l has 2 - lst places, 5 - 4th places
Swimmer 2 has 5 - 1st places, 2 - 10th places

|  | 10 POINT SYSTEM |  |  |  | 4 POINT SYSTEM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7swims | Place | 5swims | Place | 7swims | Place | 5swims | Place |
| Swimmer 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \times 10=20 \\ & 5 \times \quad 7=35 \end{aligned}$ | 1st | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 2 \times 10=20 \\ 3 \times 7=21 \end{array}\right) 41$ | 4th | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 2 \times 4=8 \\ 5 \times 1=5 \end{array}\right) 13$ | 4th | $\binom{2 \times 4=9}{3 \times 1=3} 11$ | 4th |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Swimmer } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 5 \times 10=50 \\ \cdot 2 \times \quad 1=2 \end{array}\right) 52$ | 4th | $5 \times 10=50$ | 1st | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 5 \times 4=20 \\ 2 \times 1=2 \end{array}\right) 22$ | 1st | $5 \times 4=20$ | 1st |

Obviously the 4th placing is hypothetical, what I have tried to show is that 4 th place meant out of the medals.

There is no doubt that 5 swims instead of 7 does change the results, $75 \%$ of the age groups are affected one way or the other. There are not the ties for lst place that I certainly predicted. The changes to positions are quite marked, the most significant change however, is the one to the personnel.

SUMMARY.
There is no doubt that the 7 swim system finds the best overall swimmer, however, whēther 7 swims, plus a possible 4 relays in $3 \frac{1}{2}$ days is too demanding physically, or how much longer the number of competitors attending the National Meet will allow it, are questions that will have to be answered.
It is my belief that the question of 5 or 7 swims is only part of a larger question, that is the future of our National Swim. I put forward the following questions which I see as most important for future planning

1. Number of individual swims, 5 or 7 ?
2. Individual events swum
a) do we reduce, if so what events?
or b) increase - add longer distances?
3. Retain or scrap present overall medal system?
4. Duration of Meet. At the present we hold the swim over $3 \frac{1}{2}$ days, if as seems probable we get 1,000 competitors at Sydney in 1989, it does not seem possible that $3 \frac{1}{2}$ days will be sufficient, particularly at 7 swims.

PETER GILLETT
National REcorder.
APPENDIX

| Year | POINTS SYSTEM | No. of comp. | AGE <br> GROUPS AFFECTED | No of AGE GRoups | \% | TIES FOR 15T. PLACE | $\%$ | changes <br> To Positions | $\%$ |  | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1984 | 4 | 510 | 16 <br> 9 MEN <br> 7 WOMEN | 23 <br> 12 MEN <br> 11 WOMEN | 70\% | $\Delta$ 2 MEN 2 WOMEN | 17\% | 20 <br> 12 MEN 8 WOMEN | 28\% | 9 <br> 7 MEN <br> 2 women | $13 \%$ |
| 1985 | 4 | 520 | 9 MEN <br> 6 WOMEN | $22$ <br> 11 MEN <br> II WOMEN | 68\% | 4 <br> 3 MEN <br> 1 WOMEN | $18 \%$ | 20 <br> 10 MEN <br> 10 WOMEN | $28 \%$ | 7 <br> 4 MEN <br> 3 WOMEN | $10 \%$ |
| 1986 | 10 | 650 | 15 <br> 7 MEN <br> 8 WOMEN | 21 <br> 11 MEN <br> 10 WOMEN | $71 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIEN } \\ & 2 \text { WOMEN } \\ & 2 \text { MOM } \end{aligned}$ | $24 \%$ | 34 <br> 22 MEN <br> 12 WOMEN | 46\% | 14 <br> 9 MEN <br> 5 women | $19 \%$ |
| 1987 | 10 | 850 | 20 <br> 9 MEN <br> II WOMEN | 24 <br> 12 MEN <br> 12 WOMEN | 83\% | 12 <br> 7 MEN <br> 5 WOMEN | 50\% | 50 <br> 27 MEN <br> 23 wamen | 68\% | $\begin{gathered} 25 \\ 13 \text { MEN } \\ 12 \text { WOMEN } \end{gathered}$ | $34 \%$ |

